

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- 3rd Dist.
C031903 People, Respondent
 v.
 Randolph Richard Zavala, Jr. et al., Appellants
 The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby
 extended to and including December 20, 2000. (Cal. Rules of Court,
 rule 28(a)(1).)
- S088458 Lockheed Martin Corporation, et al., Petitioners
4th Dist. v.
E025064 San Bernardino County Superior Court, Respondent
E025181 Roslyn Carrillo, et al., Real Parties in Interest
Div. 2 Pursuant to stipulation, petitioners and defendants FMC
 Corporation, Petro-Tex Chemical Corporation, and El Paso
 Tennessee Pipeline Co. are hereby dismissed from this cause with
 prejudice, the parties to bear their own costs.
- S015384 People, Respondent
 v.
 Richard Lacy Letner and Christopher Allan Tobin, Appellants
 On application of appellant Christopher Allan Tobin and good
 cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file
 appellant's opening brief is extended to and including January 5,
 2001.
- S018637 People, Respondent
 v.
 Jackie Ray Hovarter, Appellant
 On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is
 ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is
 extended to and including November 27, 2000.
- S023628 People, Respondent
 v.
 John Sapp, Appellant
 On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is
 ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is
 extended to and including January 5, 2001.
 No further extensions of time will be granted.

S024645 People, Respondent

v.

Omar Dent, III, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including January 2, 2001.

S025355 People, Respondent

v.

Edward Dean Bridges, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including January 5, 2001.

S027730 People, Respondent

v.

Maria Del Rosio Alfaro, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including November 29, 2000.

S032146 People, Respondent

v.

Joseph Danks, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including January 8, 2001.

S047056 People, Respondent

v.

Ignacio Arriola Tafoya, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 29, 2000.

S049743 People, Respondent

v.

Caroline Young, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including January 5, 2001, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for

appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S073709 In re Ward Francis Weaver, Jr.
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including December 26, 2000.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S090553 Rodney Scott Pearl, Petitioner
 v.
 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, Respondent
 Board of Trustees of the California State University et al.,
 Respondents

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including November 27, 2000.

2nd Dist. People
B143780 v.
 Larry Cote

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Five to Division Seven.

S090952 In re **Mark Nicholas Phillips** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mark Nicholas Phillips, State Bar No. 138694**, be suspended from the practice of law for five years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; and until he makes specified restitution, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually

suspended for one year and until he complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii) and makes specified restitution as set forth above. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on July 7, 2000. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)